UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Roy Den Hollander,

Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

-against-

Copacabana Nightclub, China Club, A.E.R. Lounge, Lotus, Sol1, and Jane Doe Promoters,

Defendants.

Docket No. 07 CV 5873 (MGC)

FIRST AMENDED CLASS **ACTION 42 U.S.C. 1983 COMPLAINT**



Civil Rights, 14th Amendment - Equal Protection, Class Action.

- 1. This is an action brought by the plaintiffs as a class for declaratory and injunctive relief and nominal damages against the defendant discos² for the deprivation, under the color of state law, of the plaintiffs' rights as guaranteed by the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.
- 2. The defendants regularly hold "Ladies Nights" in which they charge males 18 years-old and older more for admission than they charge females or give males less time than females to enter defendant discos for free or at a reduced price.
- 3. This class action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 over which this Court has jurisdiction in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1343(3) & (4).

The defendants are listed by their trade names or "doing business as" names. Their legal business names are for the Copacabana Nightclub: River Watch Restaurant, Inc.; for China Club: Nightlife Enterprises L.P.; for A.E.R. Lounge: AER Lounge LLC: for Lotus: Lulu's LLC: and for Sol: Ruby Falls Partners LLC.

² The generic tern "disco" is used to refer to the defendants' establishments that sell alcohol for consumption on their premises. All, except for A.E.R. Lounge, have New York State Liquor Authority ("SLA") licenses classified as "on-premises" and A.E.R. has a "cabaret" license from the SLA. Both types of licenses are for public accommodations as opposed to "private clubs" for which the SLA issues a different type of license that exercises less pervasive control. Refer to N.Y. Alcoholic and Beverage Control ("ABC") Law § 3 and SLA Rules at 9 NYCRR Exec., §§ 47.3, 47.7.